# Acceptance Corrections for A(e,e'pp) Analysis



CLAS Collaboration meeting, JLab, February 25<sup>th</sup>, 2016.



What are Short-Range Correlation (SRC)

- Are close together (wave function overlap)
- Have high relative momentum and low c.m. momentum compared to the Fermi momentum (k<sub>F</sub>)







Plii

















#### Breakup the pair => Detect both nucleons => Reconstruct 'initial' state









A. Tang et al., PRL (2003);

E. Piasetzky et al., PRL (2006);

R. Shneor et al., PRL (2007)









A. Tang et al., PRL (2003);

E. Piasetzky et al., PRL (2006);

R. Shneor et al., PRL (2007)





A. Tang et al., PRL (2003);

E. Piasetzky et al., PRL (2006);

R. Shneor et al., PRL (2007)







O. Hen et al., Science 364 (2014) 614

#### **Bottom Line:**

- np-SRC dominance observed in
   A = 4 208 nuclei.
- Strong indication for Tensor force dominance at short distance



# Universal structure of nuclear momentum distributions





degrees



# Reanalyzed existing CLAS data via a data-mining initiative

- 5 GeV electrons on <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>27</sup>Al, <sup>56</sup>Fe, and <sup>208</sup>Pb:
- Cut (e,e'p) kinematics to simulate previous measurements\*.
- 2. Look for a correlated recoil proton.





#### **3D Reconstruction**











Back-to-back = SRC pairs!











#### mp-pairs dominance in *heavy* nuclei









- Previously published A(e,e'p)/<sup>12</sup>C(e,e'p) and A(e,e'pp)/<sup>12</sup>C(e,e'pp) cross-section ratios
- Still need to extract A(e,e'pp)/A(e,e'p) ratios, as a function of |P<sub>miss</sub>|.
  - Relates to the fraction of pp-SRC pairs out of all high-momentum protons.
  - Expected to grow with |P<sub>miss</sub>|, as we move from tensor dominated to the scalar (??) repulsive core.



- The leading proton kinematics [i.e. A(e,e'p) part] is identical for the two reactions.
- ⇒The ratio extraction only required correcting for the recoil proton acceptance.
- How to correct for the acceptance?
- 1. Use a theory motivated event generator to extract the recoil proton distribution in A(e,e'pp) events.
- 2. Run it thorough the CLAS M.C.
- 3. See how many events are accepted



#### Standard Procedure:

- 1. Start with the measured A(e,e'p) events.
- 2. For each event raffle 100 c.m. momentum vectors  $(P_{c.m.})$  that are Gaussians in each direction with a constant width  $(\sigma_{c.m.})$ .
- 3. Define a recoil proton momentum using:  $P_{recoil} = P_{c.m.} - P_{miss}$
- 4. Run the generates A(e,e'pp) events through the detector simulation.
- 5. Repeat 1-4 using different  $\sigma_{c.m.}$  until best agreement with the measured c.m. distribution is observed

R. Shneor et al., PRL (2007) ; R. Subedi et al., Science (2008) ; I. Korover et al., PRL (2014)

#### L i



# 💼 CLAS issue – complex c.m. model 🥘



#### $|P_{miss}| \neq |P_{recoil}|$ [Due to c.m. motion of the pair]

# 💼 CLAS issue – complex c.m. model 🤅



 $|P_{miss}| \neq |P_{recoil}|$ [Due to c.m. motion of the pair]



# CLAS issue – complex c.m. model



Шiг

# CLAS issue – complex c.m. model

iss

Case II:



#### **Bottom Line:**

- Need to do a 3D fit that requires 1. substantial resources.
- 2. Simple  $\chi^2$  (/log-likelihood) test is not appropriate for 3D – need to employ a 'smarter' statistical test.

and a  $P_{c.m.}$  that points in its direction (i.e. case II)

P

recoi





- 1. Implement at fast M.C.
  - Apply fiducial cuts to the recoil proton (previously done for  $\pi^+$ ).
  - Extract from GSIM the proton detection efficiency in the fiducial region.
  - Use maps to determine if a simulated event in within the fiducial and if so weight it by the detection efficiency.
- Preform an 'Energy-Test' to compare the simulated and measured c.m. distributions in 3D, including their |P<sub>miss</sub>| dependence.









 Minimize the interaction energy to determine the most appropriate fit value.

$$\mathbf{D} = rac{1}{n_D^2} \sum_{i=2}^{n_D} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \psi(|\mathbf{x}_i^D - \mathbf{x}_j^D|)$$

$$\Phi_{MC} = \frac{1}{n_{MC}^2} \sum_{i=2}^{n_{MC}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \psi(|\mathbf{x}_i^{MC} - \mathbf{x}_j^{MC}|)$$

$$\Phi_{DMC} = -rac{1}{n_D n_{MC}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_D} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{MC}} \psi(|\mathbf{x}_i^D - \mathbf{x}_j^{MC}|)$$

Bootstrap to get the uncertainty







#### "... high relative momentum and <u>low c.m.</u> <u>momentum</u> compared to the Fermi momentum (k<sub>F</sub>)"

- Reconstructed total

   (c.m) pair momentum
   insensitive to FSI in
   the pair.
- Observed to be Gaussian in each direction.
- Small width, consistent with calculations.





#### Preliminary Results







#### Preliminary Results







# The group



#### MIT:



**Barak Schmookler** 



Navaphon (Tai) Muangma

**Reynier Torres** 

- Or Hen
- Shaley Gilad
- ODU:



Mariana Khachatryan

- Larry Weinstein

Tel-Aviv:





**Meytal Duer** 

**Igor Korover** 

– Eli Piasetzky

Many theory friends I





# The group



#### <u>MIT:</u>



#### **Barak Schmookler**



**Reynier Torres** 

- Or Hen
- Shalev Gilad
- <u>ODU:</u>



Mariana Khachatryan

– Larry Weinstein



Many theory friends <sup>©</sup>







# **Thank You!** Sacar De **Questions?**