# **Synchrotron Radiation Emittance Growth**

$$\Delta \epsilon \approx 2 \times 10^{-27} \left( \frac{\gamma^5}{\rho[\mathrm{m}]^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$$
$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}}^2 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-33} \,\mathrm{GeV}^2 \left( \frac{\gamma^7}{\rho[m]^2} \right)$$

rms, geometric 180° multi-cell bend

Sands 1985, Douglas 1997



- Arc focusing very flexible: separate power supplies for all 32 arc quads
- Traditional CEBAF FODO cells → DBA cells in higher arcs
- 30-40% reduction in  $\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$ – Tradeoffs in M<sub>56</sub>, matching





Jefferson Lab

# **Transverse Emittance Evolution**

Region	$\sigma_p/p$	$\epsilon_x$	$\epsilon_y$
	[x10 <sup>-3</sup> ]	[nm]	[nm]
Chicane	0.5	4.00	4.00
Arc 1	0.05	0.41	0.41
Arc 2	0.03	0.26	0.23
Arc 3	0.035	0.22	0.21
Arc 4	0.044	0.21	0.24
Arc 5	0.060	0.33	0.25
Arc 6	0.090	0.58	0.31
Arc 7	0.104	0.79	0.44
Arc 8	0.133	1.21	0.57
Arc 9	0.167	2.09	0.64
Arc 10	0.194	2.97	0.95
Hall D	0.18	2.70	1.03

(Nearly) end to end elegant simulations with mitigation

# Adiabatic damping dominated

Arcs 6-10 with optics reconfigured from FODO to DBA

# Synchrotron radiation dominated

Emittances are geometric All quantities are rms from Y. Roblin



p. 2 Jefferson Lab

# **Emittance/Optics Campaign Strategy**

- Incorporated into 12 GeV optics commissioning
  - Measure in all (available) matching regions
  - Single quad  $\rightarrow$  single wire scanner measurements
  - Improve automation, model integration in 6 GeV tools
    - Measurement/match: 6-8 hours (expert) → 1 hour (operators)
    - See qsUtility talk from Dennis Turner
- Additional benefits
  - Matching more systematic and consistent through CEBAF
    - See earlier talk/discussion on ORFP betatron match strategy
  - Faster matching can be performed more routinely
  - "Parasitic" beam emittance data from every scan/rematch
- Note: following data is for 11 GeV CEBAF commissioning
- Full 12 GeV commissioning this fall will have similar strategy





### **Nice Model-Based Optics Rematch**



Before match, as found

#### After match

All data plotted is the projected beam ellipse in (x,x') at start of an upstream scanned quad

This data is for Arc 9 spreader

Blue and green ellipses are online model prediction

#### Red is measurement

Discrepancy in horizontal after match is only due to measured beam emittance being larger from expected design value





Ops StayTreat: Transverse Emittance (T. Satogata)

# **Nice Model-Based Optics Rematch**



/ July 16 2015

Ops StayTreat: Transverse Emittance (T. Satogata)

Jefferson Lab

p. 5

# **Typical Model-Based Optics Rematch**



July 16 2015

Ops StayTreat: Transverse Emittance (T. Satogata)

p. 6

Jefferson Lab

# Spring 2015 11 GeV Measurements

	All geometric rms values, [nm-rad]				
Location	Design $\sum_{\mathbf{x}}$	Meas $\sum_{x}$	Design $\sum_{r}$	Meas $\sum_{r}$	
123 MeV	4.0	2.5±0.9	4.0	1.9±0.6	
Arc 1	0.41	0.43±0.04	0.41	0.32±0.05	
Arc 2	0.26	0.50±0.10	0.23	0.31±0.10	
Arc 3	0.22	0.63±0.05	0.21	0.72±0.07	
Arc 4	0.21	0.81±0.07	0.24	0.65±0.10	
Arc 5	0.33		0.25		
Arc 6	0.58	0.48±0.05	0.31	0.66±0.04	
Arc 8	1.21	1.1±0.1	0.57	1.0±0.1	
Arc 9	2.09	3.1±0.2	0.64	1.9±0.3	
Arc 10	2.97	2.4±0.3	0.95	1.7±0.4	

Wire scanner not installed in Arc 7 in spring 2015; reinstall for fall 2015 Wire scanner in Arc 5 in disrepair, to be repaired summer 2015 Error bars are **only** measurement statistics, often over months





### Documentation

- Optics spreadsheet in Google docs
   Assembled/shared by Arne
- Procedures in detailed CASA Wiki area (with links)
  - https://casa.jlab.org/wiki/index.php/AP-CEBAF-Optics-2015-02-28
- Documentation used very well early in optics/matching/emittance campaign – what's there is very useful!
  - Wheels fell off with as run progressed
  - Wheels particularly fell off with cryo failure (and FMLA)
- Recommendations
  - Document last run in tech note (good practice for all beam studies)
  - Document next run optics campaign as above





## **CASA Wiki Snapshot**

#### Procedure

- confirm harp is working and set harp parameters
- perform zigzag measurements to establish scan quadrupoles and ranges
- edit qsUtility configuration files if necessary
  - configuration files are in /a/opsdata/fiefdata/fileio/q/qsUtility/config/F3-0/GoldenTemplates
- perform qsUtility scans
- evaluate qsUtility data to get measured Twiss parameters and emittances
- back-propagate measured Twiss parameters to start of upstream xS04 quadrupole with elegant
  - A script to do this is in ~satogata/backpropagate.pl
- use qsUtility betatron match module to calculate xS04-xS10 match
  - configuration files are in /a/opsdata/fiefdata/fileio/q/qsUtility/match\_config/F3-0
- evaluate and install match
- re-scan harp and evaluate match effectiveness
- After match is installed, one has to set the recombiner quads back to design in order to proceed to the next arc.
- perform confirmation fopt

#### **Data and References**

- Spring 2015 Optics Spreadsheet 
  Google docs)
- 0L injector match (E=107.2 MeV, BDL=536.365\*K1 for L=0.15m magnets)
  - 0L07 H: zigzags A -> MQD0L06 horizontal scan centered near 1800G, range +/-270G (BDL 3.35+/-0.5)
  - 0L08 V: zigzags -> MQB0L07 vertical scan centered near -3600G, range +/-500G (BDL -6.71+/-1.0)
  - Match calculation results and loading: e3322599 a using C-laser, IHA0L07\_2015-02-28\_23:26 and IHA0L07\_2015-03-01\_00:01 datasets.
  - 2015-03-10 C laser after injector work, before 750 MHz separator work: horizontal analysis 3, horizontal analysis 3, horizontal analysis 3, horizontal analysis after match 3, and vertical analysis after match 3.
- 1E03 match (E=1057.2 MeV, BDL=5289.659\*K1 for L=0.15m magnets, be careful MQN1S04 is L=0.30m, others are L=0.15m):
  - = 1E03 H: zigzags A -> MQB1E02 horizontal scan centered near 3100G, range +/-700G (BDL 0.586+/-0.133)
  - 1E03 V: zigzags -> MQB1E01 vertical scan centered near -2900G, range +/-1200G (BDL -0.548+/-0.227)
  - Analysis and match calculation: e3322630
  - Installed and confirmed match with fopt: e3322693 e3322695 e3322695 e3322695 e3322695
- 2E01 match (E=2007.2 MeV, BDL=20085.89\*K1 for L=0.30m magnets):
  - 2E01 H: zigzags G zigzags G -> MQC2S10 horizontal scan centered near 4600G, range +/-1000G (BDL 0.229+/-0.05)
  - 2E01 V: No zigzag solution found. Todd explored space with qsUtilityConfig and found reasonable scan of MQC2S08 K=-0.6 to 0.6 with MQC2S09 K=-0.1 m^-2 and MQC2S10 K=0.2 m^-2 (image) 2
  - In order to test that with the zigzag do the following (yves):
- # caput MOC2S09.BDL -2009
- # caput MQC2S09.BDL -200 # caput MQC2S10.BDL 4017
- # scan\_script.csh run IHA2E01 MQC2S08 Y 12051.000 -12051.000 9

Dynamic "procedure" for emittance campaign





p. 9



Ops StayTreat: Transverse Emittance (T. Satogata)

### **Future Plans**

- Fall 2015: Full CEBAF 12 GeV commissioning
  - Evaluation of full impact of SR on transverse emittances
  - Improved matching procedures
  - Investigate/compare beams for different halls/lasers
  - Investigate/measure/reduce systematic errors
- Longer term improvements
  - Parasitic emittance monitoring with sync light monitors
  - Wire scanners → large dynamic range YAG viewers / CTR
  - Iteration of model-driven machine
    - Optics transport measurements with LOCO, rayTrace



# Summary

- 12 GeV CEBAF transverse emittance dominated by synchrotron radiation in higher-pass arcs
  - Was (somewhat) mitigated with FODO → DBA optics
  - Will explore full impact with  $M_{56}$ =0 optics in Fall 2015
- Optics matching and emittance program combined
  - Becoming efficient and mature
  - Excellent tool development
- Measurements, theory, simulations are consistent
  - Within factor of 2(ish)
  - 10.5 GeV data shows we are meeting program goals
  - Full 12 GeV commissioning in Fall 2015



p. 11

Jefferson Lab

# **ARC 10 DBA Optics**





JA

p. 12 Jefferson Lab

# ARC 1 M<sub>56</sub>=0 Optics



July 16 2015

Ops StayTreat: Transverse Emittance (T. Satogata)

p. 13 Jefferson Lab