The EMC Effect of Tritium and Helium-3 from the JLab MARATHON Experiment

Michael Nycz

On behalf of the MARATHON Collaboration

JLUO Annual Meeting, Jefferson Lab
June 25, 2026

Outline

- Deep Inelastic Scattering and the EMC effect
- MARATHON Experiment and A=3 mirror nuclei
- MARATHON EMC Results
- Summary and Outlook

More details found in

D. Abrams et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 135 (2025)

Deep Inelastic Scattering



$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha^2 E'}{Q^4}\left[\frac{2F_1(x)}{M}\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{F_2(x)}{\nu}\cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i e_i^2 q_i(x) \qquad F_2 = x\sum_i e_i^2 q_i(x)$$

Deep Inelastic Scattering



$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha^2 E'}{Q^4}\left[\frac{2F_1(x)}{M}\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{F_2(x)}{\nu}\cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i e_i^2 q_i(x) \qquad F_2 = x\sum_i e_i^2 q_i(x)$$

Absorption cross section of **longitudinal** or **transverse** photons

$$R = \frac{\sigma_l}{\sigma_t} \qquad F_1 = \frac{MF_2(\nu^2 + Q^2)}{Q^2\nu(1+R)}$$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha^2 E'}{Q^4}\cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)F_2(x)\left[\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1+\frac{Q^2}{\nu^2}}{xM(1+R)\tan^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}\right]$$

Deep Inelastic Scattering



$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha^2 E'}{Q^4}\cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)F_2(x)\left[\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1+\frac{Q^2}{\upsilon^2}}{xM(1+R)\tan^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}\right]$$

Ratio of structure functions

$$\frac{\sigma_{A1}}{\sigma_{A2}} = \frac{F_2^{A1}}{F_2^{A2}}$$

Expectation from Scaling and Nucleon Fermi Motion

$$AF_2^A = ZF_2^p + (A - Z)F_n^n$$



EMC Effect

$$AF_2^A = ZF_2^p + (A - Z)F_n^n$$



quark momentum distributions in nuclei are not simply the sum of free proton and neutron distributions



J. J. Aubert et al., Phys. Lett. B 123, 275 (1983)

EMC Effect: Confirmation



A. Bodek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1431 (1983

EMC Effect



A. Bodek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1431 (1983



J. Gomez et al., Phys. Rev. D49 (1994)



J. Seely et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, (2009

EMC Effect



- Confirmation

A. Bodek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1431 (1983



- x dependence
- No Q^2 dependence
- Increases with A

J. Gomez et al., Phys. Rev. D49 (1994)



J. Seely et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, (2009

EMC Effect: Models and Predictions

1. **Multiquark clusters**
2. **Dynamical Rescaling**
   a. $F_2^A(x, Q^2) = F_2^N(x, \xi_A(Q^2) \cdot Q^2)$
3. **Off-shell correction**
   a. $\delta f(x)$
4. **And many, many more…..**



A. Bodek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1431 (1983



J. Gomez et al., Phys. Rev. D49 (1994)



J. Seely et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, (2009

EMC-SRC Correlation





O. Hen et al., Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 047301



N Fomin, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett (2012)

40+ Years: No Consensus



MARATHON

MeAsurement of the F_2^n/F_2^p, d/u **RA**tios and A=3 EMC Effect in Deep Inelastic Electron Scattering Off the **T**ritium and **H**elium Mirr**O**r **N**uclei.

Jefferson Lab PAC37 Proposal, December 2010

The JLab **MARATHON** Collaboration



1. Ratio of mirror nuclei provides a novel method of the F_2^n/F_2^p ratio at large x
2. Measurement of EMC of light nuclei (A=3)

A. Accardi et al. Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011)

The MARATHON Experiment

Hall A



Data collection: Jan. - April 2018

- LHRS and RHRS independently to detect e^-
- Standard detector configurations

- Sealed target cells
  - Cooled to 40 K
- ^{3}H filled at Savannah River National Lab



Kin	E (GeV)	E' (GeV)	Q^2 [(GeV/c)^2]	θ (deg)	x
0	10.59	3.1	2.79	16.90	0.19
1	10.59	3.1	3.06	17.58	0.22
2	10.59	3.1	3.61	19.12	0.26
3	10.59	3.1	4.19	20.58	0.29
4	10.59	3.1	4.76	21.93	0.34
5	10.59	3.1	5.31	23.21	0.38
7	10.59	3.1	6.47	25.59	0.46
9	10.59	3.1	7.56	27.77	0.54
11	10.59	3.1	8.71	29.92	0.62
13	10.59	3.1	9.84	31.73	0.70
15	10.59	3.1	10.96	33.56	0.78
16	10.59	2.9	11.83	36.12	0.82

MARATHON Analysis



^{3}H Decay: $\tau = 4500 \pm 8$ days

$^3\mathrm{H} \rightarrow {}^3\mathrm{He} + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$



Charge Normalized Yield

$$Y = \frac{N_e C}{LTQ\rho L}$$



Corrections

- ^{3}H Decay
- *Density*
- *Radiative*
- *Charge symmetric*
- *Endcap*
- *Bin centering*



MARATHON Analysis



- $2.79 < Q^2 < 11.9$ (GeV/c^2)^2
- $W > 1.84$ GeV/c^2
- Cherenkov Sum >1500 (2000)
- $-0.08 < z_{targ} < 0.10$ (kin0 – kin4)

^{3}H Decay: $\tau = 4500 \pm 8$ days

$^3\mathrm{H} \rightarrow {}^3\mathrm{He} + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$



$$n_{^3\mathrm{H}}(t) = n^0_{^3\mathrm{H}}\, e^{-t/\tau}$$

$$n_{^3\mathrm{He}}(t) = n^0_{^3\mathrm{He}} + n^0_{^3\mathrm{H}}\,\left(1 - e^{-t/\tau}\right)$$

Charge Normalized Yield

$$Y = \frac{N_e C}{LTQ\rho L}$$



Corrections

- ^{3}H Decay
- *Density*
- *Radiative*
- *Charge symmetric*
- *Endcap*
- *Bin centering*



EMC Effect of ^{3}H and ^{3}He

Normalization

- F_2^n/F_2^p can be extracted from the ratios: σ_h/σ_d , σ_t/σ_d and σ_d/σ_p
- Around $x \approx 0.31$ nuclear corrections expected to be minimal
  - F_2^n/F_2^p should be mutually consistent

$$F_2^n/F_2^p = \frac{2\mathrm{R}_{hd}(\sigma_d/\sigma_h) - 1}{1 - \mathrm{R}_{hd}(\sigma_d/\sigma_h)} = \frac{2\mathrm{R}_{td}(\sigma_d/\sigma_t) - 1}{1 - \mathrm{R}_{td}(\sigma_d/\sigma_t)}$$

1. Data
2. Model Input

K-P Model

- Data-driven
- Describes very well all available DIS nucleon and nuclear world data

A comparison among these extractions found:

^{3}H & ^{3}He EMC ratios needed to be normalized to be consistent with σ_d/σ_p extraction

σ_t/σ_d	σ_h/σ_d
Normalization factor: 0.996 ± 0.005	Normalization factor: 1.021 ± 0.005

Normalized A=3 EMC Ratios



Isoscalar Correction: Neutron & Proton Excess



^{3}H

^{2}H



^{3}He



^{2}H

Isoscalar Correction: Neutron & Proton Excess

$$F_{iso} = \frac{A\left(1 + \sigma_n/\sigma_p\right)}{2\left[Z + (A - Z)\,\sigma_n/\sigma_p\right]}$$



^{3}H



^{2}H



D. Abrams et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022)



^{3}He

^{2}H

Normalized A=3 EMC Ratios

First measurement





Systematic Uncertainties for EMC Ratios

- Target density due beam-heating: (0.1-0.5)%
- Radiative corrections: (0.25-0.45)%
- Acceptance: 0.2%

Normalized A=3 EMC Ratios



World data after applying Marathon's Isoscalar correction to existing ^{3}He data



Systematic Uncertainties for EMC Ratios

- Target density due beam-heating: (0.1-0.5)%
- Radiative corrections: (0.25-0.45)%
- Acceptance: 0.2%

Summary and Outlook

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS **135,** 062502 (2025)

Editors' Suggestion

EMC Effect of Tritium and Helium-3 from the JLab MARATHON Experiment

- The MARATHON reported the measurement of the EMC effect of the A=3 mirror nuclei
  - D. Abrams et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 135 (2025)
  - First measurement of the EMC effect of ^{3}H
- A=3 data will provide
  - Unique input for QCD studies of the nucleons
  - Partonic structure of the few-body nuclear systems in the valence quark region
- The Hall A tritium program has proven to be a great success
  - More than 10 PhDs
  - PRL and Nature publications
  - A lot of interesting physics!
- Renewed interest in tritium
  - E12-20-05: Approved tritium experiment in Hall B