

Summary WG2: ν cross sections & detectors

L. Alvarez-Ruso^a, M. Kordosky^b ^a IFIC, Valencia ^b W&M, Virginia

Statistics

- Total of 28 presentations
 - 2 plenary talks
 - 4 joint WG1+2
 - 4 joint WG1+2+3
 - 10 theoretical talks



WG2 activity pursues a better understanding of v cross sections in order to achieve the precision goals in neutrino oscillation measurements and to extract reliable information about the axial properties of the nucleon and baryon resonances



- WG2 activity pursues a better understanding of v cross sections in order to achieve the precision goals in neutrino oscillation measurements and to extract reliable information about the axial properties of the nucleon and baryon resonances
- Really?
- QE and Resonance models in most MC generators:
 - Relativistic Global Fermi Gas Smith, Moniz, NPB 43 (1972) 605
 - Rein and Sehgal D. Rein, L. M. Sehgal, Ann. Phys. 133 (1981) 79.



- WG2 activity pursues a better understanding of v cross sections in order to achieve the precision goals in neutrino oscillation measurements and to extract reliable information about the axial properties of the nucleon and baryon resonances
- Really?
- Now we know more (U. Mosel):
 - Properties of baryon resonances: p(e,e'), Mainz, JLab
 - Hadrons in the medium: γA , πA , etc
 - Nucleon optical potentials and spectral functions
 - MEC in A(e,e')



- Sound results in v oscillation physics have been obtained without dramatic improvement of MC:
 - MC tuning
 - Near Detectors



MC tuning for T2K

- P. Rodrigues
- **NEUT** tuned to fit world data on relevant c.s. for T2K
- Uncertainties set from fits to MiniBooNE data
- SciBooNE, K2K datasets used as cross check
- Ad hoc parameters if necessary for data/MC agreement



MC tuning

- P. Rodrigues
- **NEUT** tuned to fit world data on relevant c.s. for T2K
- Uncertainties set from fits to MiniBooNE data
- Example: CCQE



 $M_A = 1.64 \pm 0.04 \text{ GeV}$

Taking $G_{E}^{n} \neq 0$ will reduce M_{A} (suggested by A. Bodek)



Systematic effects in T2K



• Total systematic uncertainty from ν_e appearance: 22 % \rightarrow 10% after ND measurement



Systematic effects in NOVA/MINOS

• M. Sanchez

- The neutrino interaction systematic errors are modified in this study:
 - Cross-section: $M_A(QE)$ and $M_A(RES)$ varied by $\pm 20\%$.
 - Hadronization model changes:
 - The π^0 selection probability in the hadronization model changed by $\pm 33\%$.
 - Change in average Pt resulting in broader showers.
 - Re-weighting Pt and Xf distributions of hadron distribution.
 - Intranuclear formation zone changed by ± 50%.
- These systematics should mostly cancel, however they can be affected by Far/Near detector differences.
 - We expect the most significant of them to be: energy spectra, light levels and event energy containment.

Systematic effects in NOVA/MINOS

õ

G

Event

data″

Pred

- M. Sanchez
- Procedure for evaluating systematics in MINOS/NOVA
- Standard MC
- Shifted MC = "mock data"
 - FD mock data
 - FD prediction from ND mock data
- Conclusion: ND mock data takes out systematic shifts
- Shift here altered shower shape

Reco Energy (GeV)



- Sound results in ν oscillation physics have been obtained without dramatic improvement of MC:
 - MC tuning
 - Near Detectors
- Large $\theta_{13} \Rightarrow$ systematic effects (and c.s.) will be crucial to establish CP violations
- Perhaps some theory input is needed...



- C. Giusti
- Green function approach with phenomenological (complex) optical potentials for the final nucleon



2012

- C. Giusti
- Theoretical approaches C. Giusti Green function approach with ph (complex) optical potentials for 1
- Comparison to MiniBooNE:
- Results depend on the V_{opt} parametrization
- Some 2p2h contributions contained in V_{opt}



10

0

0

0.5

1

 T_{μ} (Ge Ψ)

 $0.8 < \cos\theta < 0.9$

1.5

- J. Sobczyk
- 2p2h contributions to νA (Martini, Nieves, Amaro et al.)





 Δ -Meson Exchange Current diagrams



Correlation diagrams

- J. Sobczyk
- 2p2h contributions to vA (Martini, Nieves, Amaro et al.)
 Can they be isolated by
- Can they be isolated by looking at the nucleons in the final state?
- FSI are very important
- Idea 1: Observe a pair of knocked out protons
- Idea 2: Integrated proton kinetic energy





• U. Mosel



NuFACT 2012

Large contributions from single nucleon (+FSI)

Difficult to observe

• H. Gallagher

Conclusions

Tuning and validation of GENIE 2.8.0 underway.

Utilizes data from electron, neutrino and hadron scattering experiments. Makes much use of neutrino data and theoretical work of the last five years.

New models:

- np-nh scattering
- new intranuclear rescattering model (hA)
- spectral functions



NUFACT

2012

- Model 1: Fermi Gas with $M_A = 1.35 \text{ GeV}$
- Model 2: Fermi Gas with $M_A = 1 \text{ GeV} + 2p2h$
 - \Rightarrow (basically) same $< d^2\sigma/dE_{\mu}d\cos\theta_{\mu} >$
 - \Rightarrow very different reconstructed E_{ν}



Theoretical approaches to π production

- A. Mariano
- $\nu N \rightarrow l^{-} \pi N'$ at low energies
- dominated by $\Delta(1232)$
- threshold behavior determined^{*}
 by chiral symmetry
- Limited by lack of exp. info to constrain N-∆(1232) axial ff (ANL, BNL data)



Theoretical approaches to π production

- A. Mariano
- $\nu A \rightarrow l^{-} \pi X$
- Elementary amplitude + Δ in the medium + FSI
- In a toy **FSI** model (eikonal π absorption)



Theoretical approaches to π production

- A. Mariano
- $\nu A \rightarrow l^{-} \pi X$
- Elementary amplitude + Δ in the
- In a toy **FSI** model (eikonal π abs
- MiniBooNE data understimated
- Also in GiBUU
- $2p2h1\pi$?



Diffractive meson production

- M. Siddikov
- meson = π , K, η (in the Bjorken regime)
- probe the flavor structure of the GPD
- axial contributions to H, E, complement to HERA, JLab
- estimates with different GPD models:



ν DIS

J. Morfin

- Parton distribution functions within a nucleus are different than in an isolated nucleon (known from eA)
- Nuclear effects should be different in vA because of the axial current



ν DIS

- J. Morfin
- Parton distribution functions within a nucleus are different than in an isolated nucleon (known from eA)
- Nuclear effects should be different in ν A because of the axial current $\frac{\sigma(\text{Fe or Pb})}{\sigma(n + n)} \stackrel{1.5}{=} \frac{1.5}{=} Wulcain \text{ Detti}$



Miner ν a in the Low Energy NUMI beam



NUFACT 2012

Talks by: J. Morfin, J. Chvojka, J. Park, B. Eberly

- J. Morfin
- Ratio: $r = \sigma(\bar{\nu})/\sigma(\nu)$
- Particularly interesting in the transition from exclusive states to DIS
- Related to the participation of anti-q

$$\frac{\bar{q}}{q+\bar{q}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{3r-1}{r+1}$$





- J. Morfin
- Several Nuclear Targets



J. Morfin

• Only 25% ν data, 20% Fe, Pb target mass



- J. Morfin
- Only 25% ν data, 20% Fe, Pb
- Ratios: partial cancellation of (flux, acceptance) errors
- Errors should go down significantly



Miner ν a CCQE

J. Chvojka



- ν bar and ν single track analyses underway
- Kinematic E_{ν} and Q^2 reconstruction

$$E_{\nu_{\mu}}^{QE} = \frac{2M'_{n}E_{\mu} - (M'^{2}_{n} + m^{2}_{\mu} - m^{2}_{p})}{2(M'^{2}_{n} - E_{\mu} + p_{\mu}\cos\theta_{\mu})} \qquad M'_{\mu}$$

$$E_{\nu_{\mu}}^{QE} = \frac{2M'_{p}E_{\mu} - (M'^{2}_{p} + m^{2}_{\mu} - m^{2}_{n})}{2(M'^{2}_{p} - E_{\mu} + p_{\mu}\cos\theta_{\mu})} \qquad \mathcal{E}_{B}^{QE}$$

$$Q^{2} = 2E^{QE}(E_{\mu} - p_{\mu}\cos\theta_{\mu}) - m^{2}_{\mu} \qquad E^{QE}$$

$$M'_{n} = m_{n} - \varepsilon_{B}$$

$$M'_{p} = m_{p} - \varepsilon_{B}$$

$$\varepsilon_{B} = 30 MeV$$

$$E^{QE} = E^{QE}_{\overline{\nu_{\mu}}} \text{ or } E^{QE}_{\nu_{\mu}}$$

$$WIFACE$$

2012

Minerva CCQE

- J. Chvojka
- ν bar single track analysis (19 x10¹⁹ POT, 16 % of total)
- Data consistent with $M_A = 1 \text{ GeV}$





EM final states in Miner ν a

- J. Park
- π^{o} final states

NC Resonant π^{0} $V_{\mu} + p \rightarrow V_{\mu} + p + \pi^{0}$ $V_{\mu} + n \rightarrow V_{\mu} + n + \pi^{0}$ NC Coherent π^{0} $V_{\mu} + A \rightarrow V_{\mu} + \pi^{0} + A$ $\overline{V}_{\mu} + A \rightarrow \overline{V}_{\mu} + \pi^{0} + A$

CC Resonant π^{0} $V_{\mu} + n \rightarrow \mu^{-} + p + \pi^{0}$ $\overline{V}_{\mu} + p \rightarrow \mu^{+} + n + \pi^{0}$

ν_e CCQE: important to measure beam backgrounds in ND
 ν_μ+e⁻ → ν_μ+e⁻ : gives a constraint on beam flux



EM final states in Miner ν a

• J. Park

- Electron vs. photon identification
 Electrons from Michel decays
- Photons from π^{0}
- Looks like dE/dx can have power
- Good news for LAr





EM final states in Miner ν a

- J. Park
- π^{o} final states:
- CC π^{0} mass reconstruction:

before dE/dx cut

after dE/dx cut



π production @ Miner ν a

- J. Park
- Challenging π reconstruction
- Example:





π production @ Miner ν a

Statistical errors are very small



T2K inclusive CC cross section

• G. Christodoulou

Measurement at the 2.5° off-axis near detector ND280



T2K inclusive CC cross section

• G. Christodoulou

Measurement at the 2.5° off-axis near detector ND280

 $\langle \sigma_{CC} \rangle_{\Phi} = (6.93 \pm 0.13(stat) \pm 0.85(syst)) \times 10^{-39} \frac{cm^2}{nucleons}$ **NEUT prediction** $\langle \sigma_{CC} \rangle_{\Phi} = 7.26 \times 10^{-39} \frac{cm^2}{neutrons}$ **GENIE prediction** $\langle \sigma_{CC} \rangle_{\Phi} = 6.68 \times 10^{-39} \frac{cm^2}{neutrons}$

• Recall that NEUT: $M_A = 1.21 \text{GeV}$ GENIE: $M_A = 1 \text{ GeV}$



T2K inclusive CC cross section

• G. Christodoulou



MiniBooNE inclusive cross section

- M. Tzanov
- New analysis: not just $CCQE + CC\pi^+ + CC\pi^0$
- Muon kinematics from 2-track likelihood fit
- E_{ν} determination: detector used as calorimeter



$$< d^2 \sigma / dE_\mu d \cos \theta_\mu >$$

MiniBooNE inclusive cross section

- M. Tzanov
- New analysis: not just $CCQE + CC\pi^+ + CC\pi^0$
- Muon kinematics from 2-track likelihood fit
- E_{ν} determination: detector used as calorimeter
- Coming soon: $d^2\sigma/dT_{\mu}d\cos\theta_{\mu}$ (E_{ν}), $d\sigma/dQ^2$ (E_{ν}), $d\sigma/dT_{\mu}$ (E_{ν}), $d\sigma/d\cos\theta_{\mu}$ (E_{ν})



MiniBooNE inclusive cross section

- M. Tzanov
- New analysis: not just $CCQE + CC\pi^+ + CC\pi^0$
- Muon kinematics from 2-track likelihood fit
- E_{ν} determination: detector used as calorimeter
- and also ν bar CCQE and NCE







Hadron production

- Basic principle: p A $\rightarrow \pi$, K $\rightarrow \mu \nu$
- Measurements of π , K x_F, p_T spectra to constrain the flux
- S. Murphy (T2K), L. Aliaga Soplin (Minerνa)
- Data from NA61, NA49
- With high statistics

 (and lots of work)
 maybe systematics on
 hadron production
 can be reduced to ~5 %
- Other sources of error become important



Other talks

- Model independent determination of the axial mass parameter in CCQE neutrino nucleon scattering, R. Hill
- Differences in Quasi-Elastic Cross-Sections of Muon and Electron Neutrinos, M. Day
- Constraining Systematics using ND for Neutrino Factory/LBNE, S. Mishra
- Beta Beams Option Studies, T. Mendonca
- Uncertainties in Determining Parton Distributions at Large x: Results from the CTEQ-JLab Collaboration, C. Keppel
- Pion Electroproduction at CLAS and other JLab Measurements, T. Mineeva
- Strangeness content of the nucleon, K. Paschke



Conclusions

- New interesting experimental cross sections data underway
- Theoretical work being done in parallel
- Input from theory (and manpower) is needed to make better event generators (collider exps. have this)
- Experiments are cautioned about the consequences of pulling known model parameters far outside of their physical range
- Barrier between new models and generators needs to be broken
 - Idea: common event format so theorists can provide small samples to experiments (H. Gallagher)
 - can expt see these events?
 - does the new theory look more like reconstructed data?

