THE GREEN'S FUNCTION MODEL FOR QUASIELASTIC ELECTRON AND NEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING

Carlotta Giusti Università and INFN, Pavia

collaboration:



NUFACT 2012

A. Meucci (Pavia)
F.D. Pacati (Pavia
M.B. Barbaro (Torino)
J.A. Caballero (Sevilla)
J.M. Udías (Madrid)





QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout



QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout



QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout



QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout

 $e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$

$$e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$$

- both e' and N detected one-nucleon-knockout (e,e'p)
- (A-1) is a discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)

$$e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$$

- both e' and N detected one-nucleon-knockout (e,e'p)
- (A-1) is a discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected inclusive (e,e')

 $e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$

- both e' and N detected one-nucleon knockout (e,e'p)
- (A-1) is a discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected inclusive (e,e')

QE v-nucleus scattering

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow \nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + N + (A - 1)$$
 NC

 $\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow l^-(l^+) + N + (A-1)$ CC

 $e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$

- both e' and N detected one-nucleon knockout (e,e'p)
- (A-1) is a discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected inclusive (e,e')

QE v-nucleus scattering

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow \nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) \longrightarrow (A-1)$$
 NC

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow l^-(l^+) + N \to (A-1)$$

only N detected semi-inclusive NC and CC

 $e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$

- both e' and N detected one-nucleon knockout (e,e'p)
- (A-1) is a discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected inclusive (e,e')

QE v-nucleus scattering

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow \nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + N + (A - 1)$$
 NC

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow \underbrace{l^-(l^+)}_{l^+} + N + (A - 1) \qquad CC$$

- only N detected semi-inclusive NC and CC
- only final lepton detected inclusive CC

one-boson exchange





electron scattering







one-boson exchange





electron scattering







 $\sigma = K L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$











 $\sigma = K L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$ \downarrow kin factor



 $\sigma = K L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$

lepton tensor contains lepton kinematics





exclusive reaction: n

DKO mechanism: the probe interacts through a one-body current with one nucleon which is then emitted the remaining nucleons are spectators



 $\langle f \mid J^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{q}) \mid i \rangle \longrightarrow \lambda_n^{1/2} \langle \chi_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{(-)} \mid j^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{q}) \mid \phi_n \rangle$

$$\lambda_n^{1/2} \langle \chi^{(-)} \mid j^{\mu} \mid \phi_n \rangle$$

- j^µ one-body nuclear current
- $\chi^{(-)}$ s.p. scattering w.f. $H^+(\omega + E_m)$
- ϕ_n s.p. bound state overlap function H(-E_m)
- λ_n spectroscopic factor
- $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^{(-)}}$ and $\ensuremath{\varphi}$ consistently derived as eigenfunctions of a Feshbach optical model Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(E) = PHP + PHQ \frac{1}{E - QHQ + i\eta} QHP$$

in the calculations



- $\stackrel{\mbox{\tiny{\#}}}{=} \chi^{(-)}$ phenomenological optical potential
- ➡ \u00e9n phenomenological s.p. wave functions

 $\stackrel{\label{eq:linear}}{\Rightarrow} \lambda_n$ extracted in comparison with data: reduction factor applied to the calculated c.s. to reproduce the magnitude of the experimental c.s.

in the calculations



- 🍀 phenomenological ingredients usually adopted
- $\stackrel{ allefted{tabular}}{=} \chi^{(-)}$ phenomenological optical potential
- 🍀 ϕ_n phenomenological s.p. wave functions

 $\neq \lambda_n$ extracted in comparison with data: reduction factor applied to the calculated c.s. to reproduce the magnitude of the experimental c.s.

both DWIA and RDWIA give an excellent description of (e,e'p) data in a wide range of nuclei and in different kinematics

INCLUSIVE SCATTERING

only final lepton detected: different treatment of FSI

INCLUSIVE SCATTERING

only final lepton detected: different treatment of FSI

RDWIA

sum of 1NKO where FSI are described by a complex OP with an imaginary absorptive part does not conserve the flux











- (e,e') nonrelativistic
- F. Capuzzi, C. Giusti, F.D. Pacati, Nucl. Phys. A 524 (1991) 281
- F. Capuzzi, C. Giusti, F.D. Pacati, D.N. Kadrev Ann. Phys. 317 (2005) 492 (AS CORR)
- (e,e') relativistic
- A. Meucci, F. Capuzzi, C. Giusti, F.D. Pacati, PRC (2003) 67 054601
- A. Meucci, C. Giusti, F.D. Pacati Nucl. Phys. A 756 (2005) 359 (PVES)
- A. Meucci, J.A. Caballero, C. Giusti, F.D. Pacati, J.M. Udias PRC (2009) 80 024605 (RGF-RMF) CC relativistic
- A. Meucci, C. Giusti, F.D. Pacati Nucl. Phys. A739 (2004) 277
- A. Meucci, J.A Caballero, C. Giusti, J.M. Udias PRC (2011) 83 064614 (RGF-RMF) comparison with MiniBooNE data
- A. Meucci, M.B. Barbaro, J.A. Caballero, C. Giusti, J.M. Udias PRL (2011) 107 172501
- A. Meucci, C. Giusti, F.D. Pacati PRD (2011) 84 113003
- A. Meucci, C. Giusti, PRD (2012) 85 093002

- the components of the inclusive response are expressed in terms of the Green's operators
- under suitable approximations can be written in terms of the s.p. optical model Green's function
- the explicit calculation of the s.p. Green's function can be avoided by its spectral representation which is based on a biorthogonal expansion in terms of the eigenfunctions of the non Herm optical potential V and V⁺
- matrix elements similar to RDWIA
- scattering states eigenfunctions of V and V⁺ (absorption and gain of flux): the imaginary part redistributes the flux and the total flux is conserved
- consistent treatment of FSI in the exclusive and in the inclusive scattering

$$W^{\mu\mu}(\omega,q) = \sum_{n} \left[\mathbf{Re} T_{n}^{\mu\mu}(E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) - \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{M}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n} - \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{Im} T_{n}^{\mu\mu}(\mathcal{E}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) \right]$$

$$W^{\mu\mu}(\omega,q) = \sum_{n} \left[\mathbf{Re} \left(\mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) - \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{M}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n} - \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{Im} \left(\mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(\mathcal{E}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) \right) \right] \mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(\mathcal{E}, E) = \lambda_{n} \langle \varphi_{n} \mid j^{\mu\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{V}'(E)} \mid \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-)}(E) \rangle \langle \chi_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-)}(E) \mid \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{V}'(E)} j^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \mid \varphi_{n} \rangle$$

$$W^{\mu\mu}(\omega,q) = \sum_{n} \left[\mathbf{Re} \left(\mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) - \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{M}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n} - \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{Im} \left(\mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(\mathcal{E}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) \right) \right] \mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(\mathcal{E}, E) = \lambda_{n} \langle \varphi_{n} \mid j^{\mu\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) \left(1 - \mathcal{V}'(E) \right) \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-)}(E) \rangle \langle \chi_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-)}(E) \mid \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{V}'(E)} j^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \mid \varphi_{n} \rangle$$



$$W^{\mu\mu}(\omega,q) = \sum_{n} \left[\mathbf{Re} \left(\mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) - \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{M}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n} - \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{Im} \left(\mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(\mathcal{E}, E_{\mathbf{f}} - \varepsilon_{n}) \right) \right] \mathbf{f}_{n}^{\mu\mu}(\mathcal{E}, E) = \lambda_{n} \langle \varphi_{n} \mid j^{\mu\dagger}(q) \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{V}'(E)} \mid \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-)}(E) \rangle \langle \chi_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-)}(E) \mid \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{V}'(E)} j^{\mu}(q) \mid \varphi_{n} \rangle$$



eigenfunctions of V and V⁺







Flux redistributed and conserved

The imaginary part of the optical potential is responsible for the redistribution of the flux among the different channels

CALCULATIONS

- phenomenological bound and scattering states: same ingredients in the inclusive and exclusive scattering
- FSI: phenomenological optical potential
- bound states: mean-field approach
- pure Shell Model description: \$\ointignty_n\$ one-hole states in the target with a unitary spectral strength
- \sum_{n} over all occupied states in the SM: all the nucleons are included but correlations are neglected



relativistic Green's function model RGF



data from Frascati NPA 602 405 (1996)



e = 1 GeV



FSI

- RPWIA ---- rROP RGF1
 - RGF2
 - --- RMF



e = 1 GeV





e = 1 GeV



FSI





e = 1 GeV













DIFFERENT DESCRIPTIONS OF FSI

RMF

real energy-independent RMF reproduces nuclear saturation properties, purely nucleonic contribution, no information from scattering reactions explicitly incorporated



RGF

complex energy-dependent phenomenological OP fitted to elastic p-A scattering information from scattering reactions

the imaginary part includes the overall effect of inelastic channels, different contributions at each energy, not only 1-N emission (multinucleon, non-nucleonic, rescattering...)



Comparison RMF-RGF deeper understanding of nuclear effects (FSI) which may play a crucial role in the analysis of MiniBooNE data, which may receive important contributions from non-nucleonic excitations and multi-nucleon processes

First Measurement of the Muon Neutrino Charged Current Quasielastic Double Differential Cross Section, PRD 81 (2010) 092005

$$\nu_{\mu} + {}^{12}\mathrm{C} \longrightarrow \mu^{-} + \mathrm{X}$$

First Measurement of the Muon Neutrino Charged Current Quasielastic Double Differential Cross Section, PRD 81 (2010) 092005

$$\nu_{\mu} + {}^{12} \mathrm{C} \longrightarrow \mu^{-} + \mathrm{X}$$

Measured cross sections larger than the predictions of the RFG model and of other more sophisticated models. Unusually large values of the nucleon axial mass must be used to reproduce the data (about 30% larger)

One-body CC nuclear weak current

$$j^{\mu} = \left[F_1^{\rm V}(Q^2)\gamma^{\mu} + i\frac{\kappa}{2M}F_2^{\rm V}(Q^2)\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu} - G_{\rm A}(Q^2)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5 + F_{\rm P}(Q^2)q^{\mu}\gamma^5 \right]\tau^{\pm}$$

$$F_{
m P} = rac{2MG_{
m A}}{m_\pi^2 + Q^2}$$
 induced pseudoscalar form factor









- A larger axial mass may be interpreted as an effective way to include medium effects not taken into account by the RFG model and by other models.
- Before drawing conclusions all nuclear effects must be investigated

- A larger axial mass may be interpreted as an effective way to include medium effects not taken into account by the RFG model and by other models.
- Before drawing conclusions all nuclear effects must be investigated



Differences between Electron and Neutrino Scattering

electron scattering :

beam energy known, cross section as a function of $\ \omega$

neutrino scattering:

axial current

beam energy and ω not known

calculations over the energy range relevant for the neutrino flux

the flux-average procedure can include contributions from different kinematic regions where the neutrino flux has significant strength, contributions other than 1-nucleon emission



 $0.4 < \cos\theta_{\mu} < 0.5$







- The MiniBooNE collaboration has measured CCQE $\bar{\nu}$ events
- Additional information, data analysis ongoing
- In the calculations vector-axial response constructive in neutrino scattering destructive in antineutrino scattering with respect to L and T responses
- $\bar{
 u}_{\mu}$ flux smaller and with lower average energy than u_{μ} flux

Comparison CCQE neutrinoantineutrino scattering



- Measurement of the flux averaged neutral-current elastic (NCE) differential cross section on CH_2 as a function of Q² PRD 82 092005 (2010)
- The NCE cross section presented as scattering from individual nucleons and consists of 3 different processes: scattering of free protons in H, bound protons and neutrons in C

NC v-nucleus scattering

- only the outgoing nucleon is detected: semi-inclusive scattering
- FSI?
- RDWIA: sum of all integrated exclusive 1NKO channels with absorptive imaginary part of the ROP. The imaginary part accounts for the flux lost in each channel towards other inelastic channels. Some of these reaction channels are not included in the experimental cross section when one nucleon is detected. For these channels RDWIA is correct, but there are channels excluded by the RDWIA and included in the experimental c.s.
- RGF recovers the flux lost to these channels but can include also contributions of channels not included in the semi-inclusive cross section
- we can expect RDWIA smaller and RGF larger than the experimental cross sections
- relevance of contributions neglected in RDWIA and added in RGF depends on kinematics



- models developed for QE electron-nucleus scattering, successfully tested in comparison with electron-scattering data applied to QE neutrino-nucleus scattering
- RGF description of FSI in the inclusive scattering
- RGF enhances the c.s. and gives results able to reproduce the MiniBooNE data with the standard value of M_A
- enhancement due to the translation to the inclusive strength of the overall effect of inelastic channels (multi-nucleon, non-nucleonic rescattering....)
- Inelastic contributions recovered in the RGF by the imaginary part of the ROP, not included explicitly in the model with a microscopic calculation, the role of different inelastic processes cannot be disentangled and we cannot attribute the enhancement to a particular effect
- other models including multi-nucleonic excitations reproduce the MiniBooNE data
- different models.... indicate that contributions other than direct 1-N emission are important



before drawing conclusions....

- more data needed, comparison of the results of different models helpful for a deeper understanding, careful evaluation of all nuclear effects is required
- better determination of the phenomenological ROP which closely fulfills dispersion relations
- 2-body MEC not included in the model would require a new model (two-body GF)
- everything should be done consistently in the model